

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRI.W.P.NO.2767 OF 2005

Imran Mohmmad Ameen Khan ..Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

.....
Mr.Arfan A.E.A.Sait Advocate (appointed) for
Petitioner
Mrs.A.S.Pai, A.P.P. for State
.....

CORAM: D.G.DESHPANDE AND
SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, JJ.

DATE : APRIL 10,2006

ORDER ORDER : (PER SMT. V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J):

1. Heard learned advocate for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner had preferred application for furlough. The said application for furlough came to be rejected on the ground that the surety furnished by the petitioner was not found suitable. The petitioner has now furnished another surety i.e. Zarina Sayyed. The particulars of the said surety are given in the petition. In this view of

the matter, if the said Zarina Sayyed is found to be suitable surety, the petitioner to be released on furlough in accordance with the usual terms and conditions.

4. Petition is disposed of.

[D.G.DESHPANDE, J.]

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J.]